

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,661	09/12/2003	Joseph R. Phillips	10-1462	7551
23117 75	590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			HALPERN, MARK	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
memoron,	711 22203		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/660,661	PHILLIPS, JOSEPH R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Halpern	1731 ·			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
.1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	on Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	on No. 00/843 822			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
. * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.			
Atte-b						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/03.	5) Notice of Informal F	ratent Application (PTO-192)			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,661

Art-Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1) Claims 8-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 is not clear as to what is meant by the lines 6-7 phrase "wherein said filtering surface further comprises apertures with an area larger than an average size of the particulate material in the liquid stream;". It is not clear how large is an average size of particulate matter, and what is an area of apertures, is it a diameter.

Claim 14 is not clear as to what is meant by the lines 6-7 phrase "wherein said filtering surface further comprises apertures with an area larger than an average size of the particulate material in the liquid stream;". It is not clear how large is an average size of particulate matter, and what is an area of apertures, is it a diameter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,661

Art Unit: 1731

Page 3

Claims 8-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2) Williamson (6,105,788). Williamson discloses a papermaking screen basket for filtering of papermaking stock. The screen basket includes a support base made formed of honeycomb like cells that extend radially in the direction of flow through the screen basket, and a thin covering 25 having screening openings throughout and supported on the honeycomb cell core and attached to the core by welding. The covering 25 and the screen basket are annular and cylindrical and the covering can be located on the outside or on the inside of the honeycomb screen. The screen openings of item 25 are disclosed to be of size from 0.002 in. in diameter to 0.030 in. or more with satisfactory percent of open area of between 6 to about 20 percent or more (col. 2, lines 34-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the filtering area be of size that is larger than the average size of the particular material in the liquid stream of papermaking stock, and that a bed of filtering fibers from the papermaking stock be formed on the screen and provide a fine filtering medium (col. 3, line 54 to col. 6, line 65, and Figures 1-6).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,661

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern V Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731